

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,886	10/04/2005	Peter Dam Neilsen	886A.0014.U1(US)	6802
29683 HARRINGTO	7590 07/13/201 N & SMITH	EXAMINER		
	DRIVE, Suite 202	STEPHEN, EMEM O		
SHELTON, C	1 00484-0212		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/13/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)					
10/551,886	NEILSEN, PETER DAM					
Examiner	Art Unit					
EMEM STEPHEN	2617					

	EMEM STEPHEN	2617	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 21 June 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS API	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance, (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 ( periods:</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> </ul>	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07.	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checket. Any reply received by the Office may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL.	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on, A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE beld (s)</li> <li>They are not deemed to place the application in be</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NOTow);	E below);	
appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a			ie issues ioi
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	cteu ciairis.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)     Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wil	be entered and an e	xplanation of
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appear y and was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Charles N. Appiah/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicants' argument is not persuasive, Sellen discloses that reminders are retrieved from client 47 (see figs. 1-2, and par. 40), therefore, Sellen discloses the argued limitation of entering reminder into a memory of the mobile device by a remote terminal. Therefore, rejections are maintained.